



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/672,558	09/26/2003	Charles W. Schietinger	LUXT.118US2	3875

21839 7590 04/14/2005

BURNS DOANE SWECKER & MATHIS L L P
POST OFFICE BOX 1404
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404

EXAMINER

PUNNOOSE, ROY M

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2877

DATE MAILED: 04/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

10/672,558

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
---------------------------------	-------------	---	---------------------

EXAMINER

ART UNIT

PAPER


20050411

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

1. This is with regard to the applicant's letter received on November 05, 2004, which stated that (a) the priority document filed on May 24, 1999 has not been acknowledged, and (b) the PTO-1449 submitted with the Information Disclosure Statement(s) filed on September 26, 2003 has not yet been returned.
2. With regard to the priority document, Attorney Eric K. Proul (Reg. No. 45,025) in a phone conversation with the Examiner on April 11, 2005 stated that this request was an error and that it be voided.
3. With regard to the PTO-1449s, the applicant misdesignated all the forms with the application serial number of the parent application, serial number 09/577,795, and therefore the office appropriately included the received I.D.S. in the case file of 09/577,795.
4. In December 2004, the Examiner called Attorney Proul to notify the applicant of the misdesignation of the PTO-1449s. A new set of PTO-1449s were hand-delivered to the Examiner on January 06, 2005 along with only the Foreign Patent Documents and without the non-patent literature. However the 1449s were still misdesignated with the parent application serial number 09/577,795.
5. Applicant's payment of the issue fee on December 21, 2004 is acknowledged.
6. Any I.D.S filed after the payment of the issue fee cannot be considered. In the instant case, the applicant has also not filed a proper I.D.S because it is misdesignated with incorrect application serial number.
7. However, the I.D.S filed by the applicant has been considered during the examination of the parent application, and the Examiner, as a courtesy, is including herewith copies of signed and initialled PTO-1449s from the parent application, which are exact duplicates of the PTO-1449s submitted by the applicant on January 06, 2005.


Gregory J. Tooley, Jr.
Supervisory Patent Examiner
12 APR 05